BIPARTITE SETTLEMENT

DATED 10TH APRIL, 2002

BETWEEN

INDIAN BANKS' ASSOCIATION (IBA)

AND

AIBEA – NCBE – INBEF

ON

DISCIPLINARY ACTION
&
PROCEDURE THEREFORE
MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT dated 10th April, 2002 between the Management of 52’A’ Class Banks as represented by the Indian Banks’ Association and their workmen as represented by the All India Bank Employees’ Association, National Confederation of Bank Employees, Indian National Bank Employees’ Federation.

[Under Section 2(p) and Section 18(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 read with Rule 58 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules 1957]

Names of the Parties 52 Banks which are on date ‘A’ Class Banks and listed in Schedule 1 to this Memorandum of Settlement and their workmen.

Representing the Employers (Member Banks)
1) Dr. Dalbir Singh
2) Shri V Leeladhar
3) Shri R V Shastri
4) Shri S S Kohli
5) Shri K V Krishnamurthy
6) Shri S Santhanakrishnan
7) Shri K C Chowdhary
8) Shri Alien C A Pereira

Representing the Workmen
1) Shri S D Dhopeswarkar
2) Shri P N Tewari
3) Shri R D Trivedi
4) Shri P D Singh
5) Shri P M Joseph
6) Shri Tarakeswar Chakraborti
7) Shri C H Venkatachalam
8) Shri Kamal Bhattacharyya
9) Shri Ramanand
10) Shri N K Gaur
11) Shri Lalit Kumar Nagda
12) Shri Mahesh Misra
13) Shri D K Chatterjee
14) Ms. Lalitha Joshi
15) Shri Rajen Nagar

All India Bank Employees’ Association
1) Shri L Balasubramanian
2) Shri P K Patnaik
3) Shri Y K Arora
4) Shri D Venugopal Reddy
5) Shri T Selvaraj
6) Shri Y Tharak Nath
7) Shri Milind Nadkarni
8) Shri S D Mishra
9) Shri Umesh P Naik
10) Shri V K Gupta
11) Shri S A Kadri
12) Shri Ananta Kalita
13) Shri Raphy Kunjappu
14) Shri B S Venkatanarasaih
15) Shri Raju Sarvaiya

National Confederation of Bank Employees
1) Shri R P K Murugesan
2) Shri Subhas S Sawant
3) Shri S Goerge Timothy
4) Shri R Kunchithapatham
5) Shri O P Sharma
6) Shri Siddharth B Menon

Indian National Bank Employees Federation
SHORT RECITAL OF THE CASE

WHEREAS

a) In respect of 52 ‘A’ class banks listed in the Schedule to this Memorandum of Settlement except the State Bank of India, State Bank of Saurashtra, Indian Overseas Bank and Bank of Baroda, provisions of para 521 of the Sastry Award as finally, modified and enacted by the Industrial Dispute (Banking Companies) Decision Act, 1955; the Industrial Dispute (Banking Companies) Decision Amendment Act, 1957 and the provisions of paras 18.20, 18.24 and 18.28 of the Award of the National Industrial Tribunal presided over by Mr. Justice K T Desai in Reference No.1/1960 which Award inter alia modifies certain provisions of the Sastry Award (hereinafter referred to as the Awards) as modified by the Settlements dated 19.10.1966, 31.10.1979, 22.11.1979, 8.9.1983, 17.9.1984 and 14.2.1995 govern the procedure for taking disciplinary action against workmen in these banks;

b) In respect of State Bank of India, the said Awards as further modified by Settlements dated 31.10.1979, 28.11.1981 (entered into between State Bank of India and All India State Bank of India Staff Federation), 8.9.1983, 17.9.1984 and 14.2.1995 govern the procedure for taking disciplinary action against workmen in the bank;

c) In State Bank of Saurashtra the provisions of the said Awards as further modified by a Settlement dated 11.11.1966 (entered into between State Bank of Saurashtra and All India State Bank of India Staff Federation) 31.10.1979, 8.9.1983, 17.9.1984 and 14.2.1995 govern the procedure for taking disciplinary action against workmen in the bank;

d) In respect of Indian Overseas Bank, the provisions of the said Awards as further modified by the Settlements dated 14.12.1966 (entered into between IOB and the All India Overseas Bank Employees’ Union), 31.10.1979, 8.9.1983, 17.9.1984 and 14.2.1995 govern the procedure for taking disciplinary action against workmen in the bank;

e) In respect of Bank of Baroda, the provisions of the said Awards as further modified by the Settlements dated 23.12.1966 (entered into between Bank of Baroda and All India Bank of Baroda Employees’ Federation), 31.10.1979, 8.9.1983, 17.9.1984 and 14.2.1995 govern the procedure for taking disciplinary action against workmen in the bank;

f) A Memorandum of Settlement was, signed on 27th March, 2000 between Indian Banks’ Association (IBA) on behalf of the member banks named in the Schedule thereto, on one hand and the All India Bank Employees’ Association, National Confederation of Bank Employees, Indian National Bank Employees Federation representing workmen employees of the banks on the other, regarding various terms and conditions of their service;

The parties had then agreed that certain modifications/ improvements suggested by the Indian Banks’ Association in the Chapter on Disciplinary Action Procedure for workmen shall be discussed and resolved as early as possible.

h) The parties accordingly discussed the modifications/ improvements including consolidation of the provisions of the said Awards as modified by Settlements which govern the Disciplinary Action Procedure for workmen in banks and have now reached an agreement in respect of the same.

NOW, it is hereby agreed and declared by and between the parties hereto as under:
TERMS OF SETTLEMENT


Disciplinary Action and Procedure therefor

1. A person against whom disciplinary action is proposed or likely to be taken shall in the first instance, be informed of the particulars of the charge against him and he shall have a proper opportunity to give his explanation as to such particulars. Final orders shall be passed after due consideration of all the relevant facts and circumstances. With this object in view, the following shall apply.

2. By the expression “offence” shall be meant any offence involving moral turpitude for which an employee is liable to conviction and sentence under any provision of law.

3. (a) When in the opinion of the management an employee has committed an offence, unless he be otherwise prosecuted, the bank may take steps to prosecute him or get him prosecuted and in such a case he may also be suspended.

(b) If he be convicted, he may be dismissed with effect from the date of his conviction or be given any lesser form of punishment as mentioned in Clause 6 below.

(c) If he be acquitted, it shall be open to the management to proceed against him under the provisions set out below in Clauses 11 and 12 infra relating to discharges. However, in the event of the management deciding after enquiry not to continue him in service, he shall be liable only for termination with three months’ pay and allowances in lieu of notice. And he shall be deemed to have been on duty during the period of suspension, if any, and shall be entitled to the full pay and allowances minus such subsistence allowance as he has drawn and to all other privileges for the period of suspension provided that if he be acquitted by being given the benefit of doubt be may be paid such portion of such pay and allowances as the management may deem proper, and the period of his absence shall not be treated as a period spent on duty unless the management so directs.

(d) If he prefers an appeal or revision application against his conviction and is acquitted, in case he had already been dealt with as above and he applies to the management for reconsideration of his case, the management shall review his case and may either reinstate him or proceed against him under the provisions set out below in Clauses 11 and 12 infra relating to discharge, and the provision set out above as to pay, allowances and the period of suspension will apply, the period up-to-date for which full pay and allowances have not been drawn being treated as one of suspension. In the event of the management deciding, after enquiry not to continue him in service, the employee shall be liable only for termination with three months’ pay and allowance in lieu of notice, as directed above.

4. If after steps have been taken to prosecute an employee or to get him prosecuted, for an offence, he is not put on trial within a year of the commission of the offence, the management may then deal with him as if he had committed an act of “gross misconduct” or of “minor misconduct”, as defined below; provided that if the authority which was to start prosecution proceedings
refuses to do so or comes to the conclusion that there is no case for prosecution it shall be open to the management to proceed against the employee under the provisions set out below in Clauses 11 and 12 infra relating to discharge, but he shall be deemed to have been on duty during the period of suspension, if any, and shall be entitled to the full wages and allowances and to all other privileges for such period. In the event of the management deciding, after enquiry, not to continue him in service, he shall be liable only for termination with three months’ pay and allowances in lieu of notice as provided in Clause 3 above. If within the pendency of the proceedings thus instituted he is put on trial such proceedings shall be stayed pending the completion of the trial, after which the provisions mentioned in Clause 3 above shall apply.

5. By the expression “gross misconduct” shall be meant any of the following acts and omissions on the part of an employee:

(a) engaging in any trade or business outside the scope of his duties except with the written permission of the bank;

(b) unauthorised disclosure of information regarding the affairs of the bank or any of its customers or any other person connected with the business of the bank which is confidential or the disclosure of which is likely to be prejudicial to the interests of the bank;

(c) drunkenness or riotous or disorderly or indecent behaviour on the premises of the bank;

(d) willful damage or attempt to cause damage to the property of the bank or any of its customers;

(e) willful insubordination or disobedience of any lawful and reasonable order of the management or of a superior;

(f) habitual doing of any act which amounts to “minor misconduct” as defined below, “habitual” meaning a course of action taken or persisted in, notwithstanding that at least on three previous occasions censure or warnings have been administered or an adverse remark has been entered against him;

(g) willful slowing down in performance of work;

(h) gambling or betting on the premises of the bank;

(i) speculation in stocks, shares, securities or any commodity whether on his account or that of any other persons;

(j) doing any act prejudicial to the interest of the bank or gross negligence or negligence involving or likely to involve the bank in serious loss;

(k) giving or taking a bribe or illegal gratification from a customer or an employee of the bank;

(l) abetment or instigation of any of the acts or omissions above mentioned.

(m) Knowingly making a false statement in any document pertaining to or in connection with his employment in the bank.

(n) Resorting to unfair practice of any nature whatsoever in any examination conducted by the Indian Institute of Bankers or by or on behalf of the bank and where the employee is caught in the act of resorting to such unfair practice and a report to that effect has been received by the bank from the concerned authority.

(o) Resorting to unfair practice of any nature whatsoever in any examination conducted by the Indian Institute of Bankers or by or on behalf of the
bank in cases not covered by the above Sub-Clause(n) and where a report to that effect has been received by the bank from the concerned authority and the employee does not accept the charge.

(p) Remaining unauthorisedly absent without intimation continuously for a period exceeding 30 days.

(q) Misbehaviour towards customers arising out of bank’s business.

(r) Contesting election for parliament/ legislative assembly/ legislative council/local bodies/municipal corporation/ panchayat, without explicit written permission of the bank.

(s) Conviction by a criminal Court of law for an offence involving moral turpitude.

(t) indulging in any act of ‘sexual harassment’ of any woman at her workplace.

Note: Sexual harassment shall include such unwelcome sexually determined behaviour (whether directly or otherwise) as

(a) physical contact and advances;
(b) demand or request for sexual favours;
(c) sexually coloured remarks;
(d) showing pornography; or
(e) any other unwelcome physical verbal or non-verbal conduct of a sexual nature.

(u) (For State Bank of India)

the giving or taking or abetting the giving or taking of dowry or demanding directly or indirectly from the parents or guardians of a bride or bridegroom, as the case may be, any dowry.

Explanation — For the purpose of sub-clause (u) the word ‘dowry’ has the same meaning as in the “Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961”

6. An employee found guilty of gross misconduct may:

(a) be dismissed without notice; or

(b) be removed from service with superannuation benefits i.e. Pension and/or Provident Fund and Gratuity as would be due otherwise under the Rules or Regulations prevailing at the relevant time and without disqualification from future employment; or

(c) be compulsorily retired with superannuation benefits i.e. Pension and/or Provident Fund and Gratuity as would be due otherwise under the Rules or Regulations prevailing at the relevant time and without disqualification from future employment; or

(d) be discharged from service with superannuation benefits i.e. Pension and/or Provident Fund and Gratuity as would be due otherwise under the Rules or Regulations prevailing at the relevant time and without disqualification from future employment; or

(e) be brought down to lower stage in the scale of pay up to a maximum of two stages’, or

(f) have his increment/s stopped with or without cumulative effect; or

(g) have his special pay withdrawn; or

(h) be warned or censured, or have an adverse remark entered against him;

(i) be fined.

7. By the expression “minor misconduct” shall be meant any of the following acts and omissions on the part of an employee:
(a) absence without leave or overstaying sanctioned
leave without sufficient grounds;
(b) unpunctual or irregular attendance;
(c) neglect of work, negligence in performing duties;
(d) breach of any rule of business of the bank or
instruction for the running of any department,
(e) committing nuisance on the premises of the bank;
(f) entering or leaving the premises of the bank except
by an entrance provided for the purpose;
(g) attempt to collect or collecting moneys within the
premises of the bank without the previous permission
of the management or except as allowed by any
rule or law for the time, being in force;
(h) holding or attempting to hold or attending any
meeting on the premises of the bank without the
previous permission of the management or except
in accordance with the provisions of any rule or law
for the time being in force;
(i) canvassing for union membership or collection of
union dues or subscriptions within the premises of
the bank without the previous permission of the
management or except in accordance with the
provisions of any rule or law for the time being in
force;
(j) failing to show proper consideration, courtesy or
attention towards officers, customers or other
employees, of the bank, unseemly or unsatisfactory
behaviour while on duty;
(k) marked disregard of ordinary requirements of
decency and cleanliness in person or dress;
(l) incurring debts to an extent considered by the
management as excessive;
(m) resorting to unfair practice of any nature whatsoever in
any examination conducted by the Indian Institute of
Bankers or by or on behalf of the bank in cases not
covered by sub-clause (n) under ‘Gross
Misconduct’ and where a report to that effect has
been received by the bank from the concerned
authority and the employee accepts the charge;
(n) refusal to attend training programmes without
assigning sufficient and valid reasons;
(o) Not wearing, while on duty, identity card issued by
the bank;
(p) Not wearing, while on duty, the uniforms supplied
by the bank, in clean condition.

8. An employee found guilty of minor misconduct may:
(a) be warned or censured; or
(b) have an adverse remark entered against him; or
(c) have his increment stopped for a period not longer
than six months.

9. A workman found guilty of misconduct, whether gross
or minor, shall not be given more than one punishment
in respect of any one charge.

10. In all cases in which action under Clauses 4, 6 or 8 may
be taken, the proceedings held shall be entered in a book
kept specially for the purpose, in which the date on which
the proceedings are held, the name of the employee
proceeded against, the charge or charges, the evidence
on which they are based, the explanation and the
evidence, if any, tendered by the said employee, the
finding or findings, with the grounds on which they are
based and the order passed shall be recorded with
sufficient fullness, as clearly as possible and such record
of the proceedings shall be signed by the officer who
holds them, after which a copy of such record shall be furnished to the employee concerned if so requested by him in writing.

11. When it is decided to take any disciplinary action against an employee such decision shall be communicated to him within three days thereof.

12. The procedure in such cases shall be as follows:

(a) An employee against whom disciplinary action is proposed or likely to be taken shall be given a charge-sheet clearly setting forth the circumstances appearing against him and a date shall be fixed for enquiry, sufficient time being given to him to enable him to prepare and give his explanation as also to produce any evidence that he may wish to tender in his defence. He shall be permitted to appear before the Officer conducting the enquiry, to cross-examine any witness on whose evidence the charge rests and to examine witnesses and produce other evidence in his defence. He shall also be permitted to be defended -

(i) (x) by a representative of a registered trade union of bank employees of which he is a member on the date first notified for the commencement of the enquiry.

(y) where the employee is not a member of any trade union of bank employees on the aforesaid date, by a representative of a registered trade union of employees of the bank in which he is employed: OR

(ii) at the request of the said union by a representative of the state federation or all : India Organisation to which such union is affiliated.

OR

(iii) with the Bank’s permission, by a lawyer.

He shall also be given a hearing as regards the nature of the proposed punishment in case any charge is established against him.

(b) Pending such inquiry or initiation of such inquiry he may be suspended, but if on the conclusion of the enquiry it is, decided to take no action against him he shall be deemed to have been on duty and shall be entitled to the full wages and allowances and to all other privileges for the period of suspension; and if some punishment other than dismissal is inflicted the whole or a part of the period of suspension, may, at the discretion of the management, be treated as on duty with the right to a corresponding portion of the wages, allowances, etc.

(c) In awarding punishment by way of disciplinary action the authority concerned shall take into account the gravity of the misconduct, the previous record, if any, of the employee and any other aggravating or extenuating circumstances, that may exist. Where sufficiently extenuating circumstances exist the misconduct may be condoned and in case such misconduct is of the “gross” type he may be merely discharged, with or without notice or on payment of a month’s pay and allowances, in lieu of notice. Such discharge may also be given where the evidence is found to be insufficient to sustain the charge and where the bank does not, for some reason or other, think it expedient to retain the employee in question any longer in service. Discharge in such cases shall not be deemed to amount to disciplinary action.

(d) If the representative defending the employee is an employee of the same bank at an outstation branch...
within the same State, he shall be relieved on special leave (on full pay and allowances) to represent the employee and be paid one return fare. The class of fare to which he will be entitled would be the same as while travelling on duty, in case of any adjournment at the instance of the bank/enquiry officer, he may be asked to resume duty and if so, will be paid fare for the consequential journey. He shall also be paid full halting allowance for the period he stays at the place of the enquiry for defending the employee as also for the days of the journeys which are undertaken at the bank’s cost.

Explanation: ‘State’ for the purpose, shall mean the area which constitutes a political State, but this explanation will not apply to SBI.

(e) An enquiry need not be held if:
(i) the bank has issued a show cause notice to the employee advising him of the misconduct and the punishment for which he may be liable for such misconduct;
(ii) the employee makes a voluntary admission of his guilt in reply to the aforesaid show cause notice; and
(iii) the misconduct is such that even if proved the bank does not intend to award the punishment of discharge or dismissal.

However, if the employee concerned, requests a hearing regarding the nature of punishment, such a hearing shall be given.

(f) An enquiry need not also be held if the employee is charged with minor misconduct and the punishment proposed to be given is warning or censure. However,

(i) the employee shall be served a show cause notice advising him of the misconduct and the evidence on which the charge is based; and
(ii) the employee shall be given an opportunity to submit his written statement of defence, and for this purpose has a right to have access to the documents and material on which the charge is based;
(iii) if the employee requests a hearing such a hearing shall be given and in such a hearing he may be permitted to be represented by a representative authorised to defend him in an enquiry had such an enquiry been held.

(g) Where an employee is charged with a minor misconduct and an enquiry is not held on two previous occasions, an enquiry shall be held in respect of the third occasion.

13. Where the provisions of this Settlement conflict with the procedure or rules in force in any bank regarding disciplinary action, they shall prevail over the latter. There may, in such procedure or rules, exist certain provisions outside the scope of the provisions contained in this Settlement enabling the bank to dismiss, warn, censure, fine an employee or have his increment stopped or have an adverse remark entered against him. In all such cases also the provisions set out in Clauses 10 and 11 above shall apply.

14. The Chief Executive Officer or the Principal Officer in India of a bank or an Alternate Officer at the Head Office or Principal Office nominated by him for the purpose shall decide which officer (i.e. the disciplinary authority) shall be empowered to take disciplinary action in the case of each office or establishment. He shall also decide
which officer or body higher in status than the officer authorized to take disciplinary action shall act as the appellate authority to deal with or hear and dispose of any appeal against orders passed in disciplinary matters. These authorities shall be nominated by designation, to pass original orders or hear and dispose of appeals from time to time and a notice specifying the authorities so nominated shall be published from time to time on the bank’s notice board.

It is clarified that the disciplinary authority may conduct the enquiry himself or appoint another officer as the Enquiry Officer for the purpose of conducting an enquiry.

The appellate authority shall, if the employee concerned is so desirous, in a case of dismissal, hear him or his representatives before disposing of the appeal. In cases where hearings are not required, an appeal shall be disposed of within two months from the date of receipt thereof. In cases where hearings are required to be given and requested for, such hearings shall commence within one month from the date of receipt of the appeal and shall be disposed of within one month from the date of conclusion of such hearings. The period within which an appeal can be preferred shall be 45 days from the date on which the original order has been communicated in writing to the employee concerned.

15. Every employee who is dismissed or discharged shall be given a service certificate, without avoidable delay.

16. Any notice, order, charge-sheet, written communication or written intimation in connection with disciplinary proceedings when it is sought to be served upon him, such refusal shall be deemed to be good service upon him, provided such refusal takes place in the presence of at least two persons including the person who goes to effect service upon him. Where any notice, order, charge-sheet, intimation or any other official communication which is meant for an individual employee is sent to him by registered post acknowledgement due at the last recorded address communicated in writing by the employee and acknowledged by the bank, the same is to be deemed as good service.

Date of effect.

1. The provisions under this Memorandum of Settlement shall come into effect from the date of the Settlement and shall continue to govern and bind the parties until the Settlement is terminated by either party giving to the other a statutory notice as prescribed in law for the time being in force.

2. Copies of the Memorandum of Settlement will be jointly forwarded by the parties to the authorities listed in Rule 58 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules 1957, so that the terms and conditions hereof are binding on the parties as provided in law.
For Indian Banks’ Association
(S/Shri) Dalbir Singh
Sd/- V Leeladhar
Sd/- R V Shastri
Sd/- K C Chowdhary
Sd/-

For All India Bank Employees’ Association
(S/Shri) S.D. Dhopeswarkar
Sd/- Tarakeswar Chakraborti
Sd/-

For National Confederation of Bank Employees
Sd/- L Balasubramanian
Sd/- Y Tharak Nath
Sd/-

For Indian National Bank Employees’ Federation
Sd/- R P K Murugesan
Sd/- Subhas S Sawant
Sd/-

WITNESSES
(S/Shri) G Sankaranarayanan
Sd/- Himadri Dutta
Sd/- C H Venkatachalam
Sd/- Milind Nadkarni
Sd/- S B Menon
Sd/-

C.c. to: 1) Assistant labour Commissioner (Central)
2) Regional Labour Commissioner (Central)
3) Chief Labour Commissioner (Central, New Delhi)
4) The Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi.
LIST OF BANKS

1. Allahabad Bank
2. Andhra Bank
3. Bank of Baroda
4. Bank of India
5. Bank of Maharashtra
6. Canara Bank
7. Central Bank of India
8. Corporation Bank
9. Dena Bank
10. Indian Bank
11. Indian Overseas Bank
12. Oriental Bank of Commerce
13. Punjab National Bank
14. Punjab & Sind Bank
15. Syndicate Bank
16. UCO Bank
17. Union Bank of India
18. United Bank of India
19. Vijaya Bank
20. State Bank of India
21. State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur
22. State Bank of Hyderabad
23. State Bank of Indore
24. State Bank of Mysore
25. State Bank of Patiala
26. State Bank of Saurashtra
27. State Bank of Travancore
28. The Bank of Rajasthan Ltd.
29. Bharat Overseas Bank Ltd.
30. The Dhanalakshmi Bank Ltd.
31. The Federal Bank Ltd.
32. The Jammu & Kashmir Bank Ltd.
33. The Karnataka Bank Ltd.
34. The Karur Vysya Bank Ltd.
35. The Lakshmi Vilas Bank Ltd.
36. Lord Krishna Bank Ltd.
37. The Nainital Bank Ltd.
38. The Nedungadi Bank Ltd.
39. The Ratnakar Bank Ltd.
40. The Sangli Bank Ltd.
41. The South Indian Bank Ltd.
42. The Vysya Bank Ltd.
43. ABN Amro Bank N.V.
44. American Express Bank Ltd.
45. Bank of America NT & SA
46. The Bank of Tokyo - Mitsubishi Ltd.
47. BNP Paribas
48. Citibank N.A.
49. The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd.
50. Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation
51. Sonali Bank
52. Standard Chartered Bank
SUSPENSION AND SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCE DURING SUSPENSION

SUSPENSION

Clause 14.3 of Settlement dt. 17-9-84

Pending or initiation of such enquiry, an employee may be suspended, but if on the conclusion of the enquiry it is decided to take no action against him he shall be deemed to have been on duty and shall be entitled to the full wages and allowances and to all other privileges for the period of suspension; and if some punishment other than dismissal is inflicted, the whole or a part of the period of suspension, may, at the discretion of the management, be treated as on duty with the right to a corresponding portion of the wages allowance, etc.

Clause 18 of Settlement dt. 31-10-79

(iii) It is also agreed that employees on suspension will be entitled to the facility of medical aid and hospitalisation.

SUBSISTANCE ALLOWANCE DURING SUSPENSION

Clause 5 of Settlement dt. 8-9-83

In partial modification of Para 557 of the Sastry Award and Para 17.14 of the Desai Award, the following provisions shall apply in regard to payment of subsistence allowance to workmen under suspension.

a. Where the investigation is not entrusted to or taken up by an outside agency (i.e. Police/CBI), subsistence allowance will be payable at the following rates:

   i. For the first 3 months 1/3 of the pay and allowances which the workmen would have got but for the suspension.

   ii. Thereafter 1/2 of the pay and allowances.

   iii. After one year full pay and allowances if the enquiry is not delayed for reasons attributable to the concerned workman or any of his representatives. Where the investigation is done by an outside agency and the said agency has come to the conclusion not to prosecute the employee, full pay and allowances will be payable after 6 months from the date of receipt of report of such agency, or one year after suspension, whichever is later and in the event the enquiry is not delayed for reasons attributable to the workman or any of his representative.

(Release of increments upon reinstatement : Refer IBA Cir. No. : PD/CIR/76/B/M/384 dtd. 11-6-1993)

(Refer IBA Cir. PD/CIR/76/528/586/11-8-98)

V. IBA CIRCULAR No. : PD/CIR/76/B/M/384 dated 11-6-1993

RELEASE OF INCREMENTS FOR THE PERIOD UNDER SUSPENSION UPON REINSTATEMENT

Please refer to our Circular No. PD/C1R/76/B/M/376 dated 13-6-1992 advising the decision of the Personnel Committee regarding release of increments for the period under suspension, upon reinstatement. The member banks were advised as under:

“The Disciplinary Authority should invariably specify while passing orders regarding the punishment, as to whether the increment/s for the period of suspension are to be released or withheld. If the order is silent in this regard then it should be construed that increment/s fallen due during the period of suspension are to be released from the date of suspension is lifted.”

Pursuant to the above decision, many banks have made enquiries with IBA whether past cases have to be reopened and fixation of pay done accordingly. The Personnel Committee of the Association at its meeting held on 20-5-1993 decided as under:
“Penalties awarded on or after 13-6-1992 (date of issue of
IBA Circular No. PD/CIR/76/B/M/376) should be interpreted
in terms of clarifications given’ by the Personnel Committee.
Decisions taken on past cases (penalties awarded prior to
13th June, 1992) as per the prevalent practice in the
respective banks should not be reopened, for practical
considerations.‟

EFFECT OF REVISION IN PAY SCALES ON COMPUTATION
OF SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCE IN THE CASE OF WORKMEN
EMPLOYEES

IBA Circular No. PD/CIR/76/528/586 dt. 11-8-1998

The workmen employees under suspension will be eligible to
be paid subsistence allowance reckoning the revised scales
of pay on account of salary revision even if the date of
suspension is prior to the date of salary revision which will
be in line with the decision of Madhya Pradesh and Haryana
High Courts relating to payment of subsistence allowance to
workmen employees in banks. Cases of workmen employees
who are under suspension and in whose case salary revision
has taken place, subsequent to their suspension, may be
reviewed in view of the above decision and paid arrears of
subsistence allowance.

However, there shall be no change in the Committee’s decision
dated 12th September, 1989 as regards subsistence allowance
payable to officers under suspension.